

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
10 AT TACOMA

11 BRENTON D. THOMPSON,
12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 DANIEL L. WILLIAMS, *et al*,
15 Defendants.
16

Case No. C06-5476FDB-KLS

ORDER REGARDING
PLAINTIFF'S LETTER TO THE
CLERK

17 This matter has been referred to Magistrate Judge Karen L. Strombom pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
18 636(b)(1), Local Magistrates Rules MJR 3 and 4, and Rule 72 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
19 The case is before the Court on plaintiff's filing of a letter addressed to the Clerk, which the Court shall
20 treat as a motion. (Dkt. #44). After reviewing plaintiff's letter and the balance of the record, the Court
21 finds and orders as follows:

22 On June 7, 2007, plaintiff's motion for summary judgment was denied. See (Dkt. #40 and 43). In
23 his letter, which he filed the next day, plaintiff states he is in need of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
24 ("Fed. R. Civ. P.") in order to re-file his summary judgment motion. In the alternative, plaintiff asks if he
25 can simply re-note the motion on the Court calender for re-consideration.

26 Neither the Clerk, nor the Court for that matter, may offer plaintiff legal assistance or advice on
27 how to proceed with his case. The Court does note for plaintiff's benefit though, that Fed. R. Civ. P. 56
28 governs the procedures with respect to summary judgment motions. Plaintiff thus may want to consult that

1 particular rule in deciding how to proceed. To the extent plaintiff seeks any further advice or action by the
2 Court on this issue, his motion (Dkt. #44) hereby is DENIED.

3 The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to plaintiff and counsel for defendants.

4 DATED this 18th day of June, 2007.

5
6
7 

8 Karen L. Strombom
9 United States Magistrate Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28